

SEARCHING FOR NEW HYPERCOMPACT H II REGIONS

ÁLVARO SÁNCHEZ-MONGE^{1,2}, JAGADHEEP D. PANDIAN³, AND STAN KURTZ⁴

¹ Osservatorio Astrofisico di Arcetri, INAF, Largo E. Fermi 5, I-50125 Firenze, Italy; asanchez@arcetri.astro.it

² Departament d'Astronomia i Meteorologia (IEEC-UB), Institut de Ciències del Cosmos (ICC),
Universitat de Barcelona, Martí i Franquès, 1, E-08028 Barcelona, Spain

³ Institute for Astronomy, University of Hawaii, 2680 Woodlawn Dr., Honolulu, HI 96822, USA

⁴ Centro de Radioastronomía y Astrofísica, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apdo. Postal 3-72, 58090 Morelia, Michoacán, Mexico

Received 2011 April 16; accepted 2011 May 20; published 2011 August 29

ABSTRACT

Hypercompact (HC) H II regions are, by nature, very young H II regions, associated with the earliest stages of massive star formation. They may represent the transition phase as an early B-type star grows into an O-type star. Unfortunately, so few HC H II regions are presently known that their general attributes and defining characteristics are based on small number statistics. A larger sample is needed for detailed studies and good statistics. Class II methanol masers are one of the best indicators of the early stages of massive star formation. Using the Arecibo Methanol Maser Galactic Plane Survey—the most sensitive blind survey for 6.7 GHz methanol masers to date—we selected 24 HC H II region candidates. We made Expanded Very Large Array continuum observations at 3.6 and 1.3 cm to search for HC H II regions associated with these masers. We identified six potential HC H II regions in our sample based on the presence of optically thick free-free emission. Overall, we find that 30% of the methanol masers have an associated centimeter radio continuum source (separation less than 0.1 pc), which is in general agreement with previous studies.

Key words: H II regions – radio continuum; ISM – stars: formation

1. INTRODUCTION

Massive stars are key to understanding many physical phenomena in the Galaxy; nevertheless, their formation process is still poorly understood. A prime manifestation of recent massive star formation is the presence of an H II region surrounding the young massive star(s). The range of sizes and densities of these H II regions reflects both the original conditions of the star-forming environment and the later evolution of the ionized regions. The smallest (<0.03 pc) and densest ($n_e > 10^6$ cm⁻³) H II regions, the so-called hypercompact (HC) H II regions (e.g., Kurtz 2005), are associated with the earliest stages of evolution. HC H II regions are particularly interesting in the study of massive star formation because they are so small that we can assume they harbor only a single star (or a binary system), in contrast to ultracompact (UC) or compact H II regions (with sizes ~ 0.5 – 1 pc) which usually harbor clusters of massive stars (e.g., Kurtz 2000; Hoare et al. 2007). Additionally, recent work (Keto 2007) suggests that O stars form gradually via accretion through an HC H II region onto intermediate-mass B stars. Thus, the study of HC H II regions is important to understand the formation of massive stars. However, only a handful of HC H II regions are known, and a larger sample is needed for detailed studies with good statistics to determine the properties of this very early stage of massive star formation.

The 5_1 – 6_0 A^+ line of methanol at 6.7 GHz is the strongest of the class II methanol masers. Theoretical and observational studies strongly suggest that this maser line traces very early stages of massive star formation—often prior to the formation of a UC H II region (e.g., Minier et al. 2001; Cragg et al. 2005; Ellingsen 2006; Schnee & Carpenter 2009). Unlike water, OH or class I methanol masers, that have also been found toward low-mass star-forming regions, class II methanol masers seem to be unique to massive young stellar objects (YSOs). Thus, class II methanol masers are excellent candidates to search for HC H II regions.

The Arecibo Methanol Maser Galactic Plane Survey (AMGPS; Pandian et al. 2007) is the most sensitive blind survey for 6.7 GHz methanol masers carried out to date. By using the 305 m Arecibo radio telescope, the AMGPS covered an area of 18.2 deg² between Galactic longitudes of 35° and 54° , detecting a total of 86 sources. Thus, this catalog is an excellent starting point to look for new HC H II region candidates.

2. SELECTION OF TARGETS

HC H II regions have small sizes (<0.03 pc), high densities ($>10^6$ cm⁻³), high emission measures ($>10^{10}$ pc cm⁻⁶), steep spectral indices ($\sim +1$), and broad (>40 km s⁻¹) radio recombination lines (e.g., Gaume et al. 1995; Kurtz 2000; Sewilo et al. 2004). The turnover frequency, separating the optically thin ($S_\nu \propto \nu^{-0.1}$) and thick ($S_\nu \propto \nu^{+2}$) regimes, is usually between 20 and 40 GHz for HC H II regions (substantially higher than the typical 5 GHz for UC H II regions). Hence, HC H II regions are faint at wavelengths longer than 6 cm, but their intensities increase at shorter wavelengths. In order to select those AMGPS sources with the highest probability of harboring an HC H II region, we developed the following selection criteria. (1) No 6 cm/20 cm counterparts. We searched the NRAO VLA Sky Survey (NVSS; Condon et al. 1998), and the MAGPIS and CORNISH surveys (Helfand et al. 2006; Purcell et al. 2008) for emission at 20 and 6 cm toward all the AMGPS methanol maser sources. By rejecting sources with 20 or 6 cm emission we eliminated star-forming regions with more-developed, lower-density H II regions, detectable at longer wavelengths. (2) Mid-IR emission. We searched the *Midcourse Space Experiment* (MSX; Price et al. 2001) and MIPS GAL (Carey et al. 2009) catalogs for emission at mid-infrared wavelengths toward those sources with no 20 cm/6 cm emission. Essentially, all sources show emission in the MIPS GAL $24\ \mu\text{m}$ band (in some cases with pixels blanked due to saturation), but only half of the sources were detected by MSX. This is primarily because of the significantly better sensitivity of *Spitzer* compared to MSX. However,

MSX detections suggest the presence of a relatively warm YSO, which may be more likely to host a young H II region. We hence rejected sources that have no *MSX* detection. Thus all our targets exceed the *MSX* detection limit of ~ 0.3 Jy at $8 \mu\text{m}$.

Of the 86 methanol masers in the AMGPS catalog, 24 fulfill these selection criteria. The peak flux densities of the selected masers range from 0.27 Jy to 17 Jy, and their luminosities (taken from Pandian et al. 2009) range from 9.0×10^{-9} to $2.6 \times 10^{-5} L_{\odot}$, covering the full range of flux densities and luminosities reported in the AMGPS catalog. Thus, we can test for correlations between the maser properties and the presence of HC H II regions.

3. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

Our sample was observed with the Expanded Very Large Array (see Perley et al. 2011) of the NRAO⁵ in the continuum at 3.6 cm and 1.3 cm. The observations were made during the shared-risk phase in 2010 April and June; the array was in the D configuration. Owing to missing scans during the shared-risk observing not all sources were observed at both frequencies: 19 regions were observed at 3.6 cm and the entire sample (24 regions) at 1.3 cm. The new Wide-band Interferometric Digital Architecture correlator provided continuum observations of 128 MHz bandwidth with two polarizations, resulting in an effective bandwidth of 256 MHz. On-source integration times were about 6 minutes at 3.6 cm and 10 minutes at 1.3 cm. Flux and bandpass calibration were performed by observing the quasar 3C48, with an adopted flux density of 3.15 Jy at 3.6 cm and 1.12 Jy at 1.3 cm. Amplitude and phase calibrations were achieved by monitoring the quasars J1851+0035 and J1922+1530, resulting in an rms phase of 5° and 50° at 3.6 cm and 1.3 cm, respectively. Data were calibrated using the CASA package following the standard guidelines for continuum reduction. The calibrated uv data sets were exported in FITS format and imaged using the NRAO package AIPS. Images were made using a variety of weightings and tapering the 1.3 cm uv data to improve the sensitivity to low-surface-brightness objects. Typical synthesized beams were $\sim 9''$ and $\sim 6''$ at 3.6 cm and 1.3 cm, respectively, with rms noise levels of $\sim 30 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$ and $\sim 100 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$.

4. RESULTS: CENTIMETER CONTINUUM SOURCES

Radio continuum emission is seen in all regions. At 3.6 cm we detect continuum sources in all 19 observed fields, while at 1.3 cm we detect continuum emission in 10 out of 24 fields. A total of 49 radio continuum sources were identified in the 24 fields of our sample (2 out of 49 sources were detected at 1.3 cm in fields that were not observed at 3.6 cm). However, not all the sources are found close to the methanol maser emission. A complete analysis of all the sources (including those located far from the maser emission) with a multi-wavelength (infrared, submillimeter and centimeter) analysis will be presented in a forthcoming paper.

In this Letter, we focus our attention on the centimeter continuum sources close to the methanol maser emission. In particular, we study the sources detected within the 1.3 cm primary beam ($\sim 2''$), which is centered (in most cases) at the coordinates of the methanol masers reported by Pandian et al. (2011). Within the 1.3 cm primary beam, 11 of the 24 fields show radio continuum emission. Thus, the detection rate of centimeter

continuum sources close to the maser emission ($< 1''$) is around 46%. A total of 14 sources were detected in these 11 fields.

Table 1 lists the 24 regions observed in this study. For regions with a source within the 1.3 cm primary beam, we list the position of peak emission (derived from the 3.6 cm image) and the primary beam corrected flux densities at 3.6 and 1.3 cm. An upper limit of four times the rms noise of the map is listed if there is no detection within the 1.3 cm primary beam. We also list the properties of the 6.7 GHz methanol masers (peak flux density and luminosity) from Pandian et al. (2007, 2009), as well as the angular and spatial offset between the centimeter continuum sources and the maser spots.

The centimeter continuum emission found close to the masers is typically compact with respect to our synthesized beams of $6''$ – $9''$, except for two sources in G38.26–0.20 and G48.99–0.30, for which we found extended structures that are offset from the maser positions and are partially resolved out at 1.3 cm. The maximum angular scale that can be recovered by our observations is $\sim 170''$ and $\sim 65''$ at 3.6 and 1.3 cm, respectively. However, the snapshot nature of the observations make it difficult to properly image large structures. To obtain more accurate estimates of the spectral indices for the compact sources (see Table 1) we re-imaged these fields at 3.6 and 1.3 cm using the uv -range 3–28 $k\lambda$. Flux densities from this restricted uv -range were used to calculate the spectral indices reported in Table 1.

Most of the compact sources show positive spectral indices ($> +0.3$), indicative of partially optically thick emission typical of HC H II regions and thermal radio jets (e.g., Kurtz 2005; Rodríguez et al. 2005). A few sources have spectral indices between -0.3 and -0.2 , possibly tracing optically thin emission from weak and compact H II regions (e.g., Sánchez-Monge et al. 2008) or non-thermal emission as found toward other massive YSOs (e.g., Zapata et al. 2006). Two sources in our sample were detected only at 1.3 cm: the southeastern source in G37.55+0.19 (see Figure 1) and the source in G53.14+0.07. Adopting a 4σ detection limit at 3.6 cm, we can place a lower limit on the spectral index of these sources. The former has $\alpha > 1.3$ while the latter has $\alpha > 1.7$. These large values for the spectral index indicate that the turnover frequency (from optically thick to optically thin emission) is greater than 22 GHz, which implies a lower limit to the emission measure of $2 \times 10^9 \text{ pc cm}^{-6}$. These two sources are excellent candidates for HC H II regions. Several of the regions we observed were previously studied with the Very Large Array (VLA) at the higher angular resolution of $\sim 1''$ (Pandian et al. 2010). Our results are similar to those of Pandian et al. (2010), although we typically recover more flux in our D-configuration observations.

To describe the morphology and spatial distribution of the emission with respect to the masers, we classify the sources into three main groups: (1) compact sources spatially associated with the methanol maser (7/14, 50%), (2) compact sources offset from the maser (2/14, 14%), and (3) larger (in some cases, clearly extended) sources found offset from the maser emission, likely tracing more evolved UC H II regions (5/14, 36%). In Figure 1 we show an example of each group.

5. DISCUSSION

Of the 14 sources detected within the 1.3 cm primary beam (see Section 3 and Table 1), 7 are found to be coincident (spatial offset < 0.1 pc) with a methanol maser. Considering the entire sample of 24 distinct maser sources, 29% of the methanol masers are associated with radio continuum emission with a flux density

⁵ The Expanded Very Large Array (EVLA) is operated by the National Radio Astronomy Observatory (NRAO), a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc.

Table 1
3.6 cm and 1.3 cm Continuum and 6.7 GHz Methanol Maser Results

Region	Centimeter Continuum ^a					6.7 GHz Methanol Maser ^b				
	$\alpha_{(J2000.0)}$ (hms)	$\delta_{(J2000.0)}$ ($^{\circ}$ $'$ $''$)	$S_{\nu;3.6\text{cm}^c}$ (mJy)	$S_{\nu;1.3\text{cm}^c}$ (mJy)	Spectral Index ^d	Notes ^e	θ_{offset}^f (arcsec)	Offset ^f (pc)	S_{peak} (Jy)	L_{maser} (L_{\odot})
G34.82+0.35	18 53 37.96	01 50 30.4	0.18 ± 0.03	<0.35	<0.87	Compact	1.1	0.02	0.24	8.98×10^{-9}
	18 53 38.69	01 50 13.6	0.65 ± 0.07	1.15 ± 0.41	+0.58 ± 0.38	Compact	21.0	0.37	0.24	8.98×10^{-9}
G36.70+0.09	<0.13	<0.38					7.00	5.54×10^{-6}
G37.55+0.19	18 59 10.09	04 12 17.5	0.60 ± 0.08	0.67 ± 0.13	+0.11 ± 0.24	Compact	2.8	0.07	5.27	1.40×10^{-6}
	18 59 10.34	04 12 09.9	<0.14	0.68 ± 0.17	>1.32	Compact	7.4	0.20	5.27	1.40×10^{-6}
G37.60+0.42	<0.14	<0.32					17.30	6.74×10^{-6}
G38.03-0.30	<0.30	<0.36					11.71	1.91×10^{-6}
G38.08-0.27	<0.36	<0.35					0.59	2.10×10^{-6}
G38.12-0.24	19 01 43.73	04 30 51.1	22.42 ± 2.24	17.19 ± 3.52	-0.27 ± 0.23	Large	15.1	0.40	1.92	1.19×10^{-6}
G38.26-0.08	<0.20	<0.39					7.03	5.56×10^{-6}
G38.26-0.20	19 01 54.4	04 39 26.	27.73	<0.48	... ^g	Extended	51.3	2.24	0.72	7.19×10^{-7}
G38.92-0.36	19 03 40.10	05 10 14.4	5.65 ± 0.57	4.25 ± 0.94	-0.29 ± 0.25	Large	38.5	1.96	1.26	9.86×10^{-7}
G40.28-0.22	19 05 41.20	06 26 13.0	0.72 ± 0.08	0.95 ± 0.15	+0.28 ± 0.20	Compact	0.4	0.01	24.47	1.13×10^{-5}
G41.12-0.11	<0.63	<0.34					1.14	4.37×10^{-7}
G41.16-0.20	<1.41	<0.34					0.27	1.05×10^{-7}
G41.27+0.37	<0.73	<0.27					0.26	1.47×10^{-7}
G42.03+0.19		<0.33					26.32	2.57×10^{-5}
G42.30-0.30		<0.33					6.33	3.87×10^{-6}
G42.70-0.15	19 09 55.16	08 36 53.5	1.43 ± 0.08	1.05 ± 0.29	-0.32 ± 0.29	Compact	1.4	0.10	3.25	7.06×10^{-6}
G45.81-0.36	<0.38	<0.34					11.31	2.86×10^{-6}
G48.99-0.30	19 22 26.06	14 06 39.1	7.63 ± 0.69	10.57 ± 2.78	+0.33 ± 0.28	Compact	1.3	0.03	0.58	9.91×10^{-8}
	19 22 25.5	14 06 17.	1206.76	352.4 ± 0.21	... ^g	Extended	24.6	0.64	0.58	9.91×10^{-8}
G49.41+0.33	19 20 59.83	14 46 49.9		1.05 ± 0.26		Large	9.0	0.53	9.25	2.39×10^{-5}
G50.78+0.15		<0.36					5.26	9.47×10^{-7}
G52.92+0.41		<0.43					6.64	8.44×10^{-7}
G53.04+0.11	19 28 55.53	17 52 04.7	1.78 ± 0.11	2.54 ± 0.67	+0.36 ± 0.28	Compact	1.7	0.08	1.66	4.23×10^{-7}
G53.14+0.07	19 29 17.68	17 56 19.2	<0.24	1.78 ± 0.54	>1.68	Compact	4.3	0.04	1.02	1.35×10^{-8}

Notes.

^a Results for the 3.6 cm and 1.3 cm continuum emission located within the 1.3 cm primary beam ($\sim 2'$). The pointing centers of the observations correspond (in most cases) to the methanol maser positions given by Pandian et al. (2011). Regions G42.03+0.19, G42.30-0.30, G49.41+0.33, G50.78+0.15, and G52.92+0.11 were not observed at 3.6 cm because of missing scans during the shared-risk observations.

^b Properties of the class II methanol masers. Peak flux density and luminosity of the maser components from Pandian et al. (2007, 2009).

^c Primary beam corrected. Uncertainties in flux density were calculated assuming an uncertainty of 5% and 25% in the flux scale determination at 3.6 cm and 1.3 cm, respectively. In the case of non-detection, an upper limit of four times the rms noise of the map is listed.

^d Spectral index (α : $S_{\nu} \propto \nu^{\alpha}$) estimated from the flux densities at 3.6 cm and 1.3 cm, obtained with the ν -range common to both wavelengths, 3–28 k λ .

^e Notes on the morphology of the centimeter emission, indicating if it is compact (or unresolved), double, large (less compact and with some structure) or extended.

^f Angular (in arcsec) and spatial (in pc) offsets between the radio continuum source with the coordinates indicated in this table, and the position of the methanol maser (Pandian et al. 2011). The distance used to determine the spatial offset is reported in Pandian et al. (2009).

^g The source is filtered out at 1.3 cm, resulting in an unreliable spectral index determination.

$\gtrsim 0.4$ mJy. This is consistent with previous work: 10% of the AMGPS masers has 20 cm NVSS counterparts (Pandian & Goldsmith 2007), 33% of the Torun blind survey (Szymczak et al. 2002) shows associated 6 cm emission, and 30% of the AMGPS sub-sample studied by Pandian et al. (2010) was found to have associated centimeter continuum emission.

It is interesting to note that the sources associated with maser emission are compact and all but one have spectral indices between +0.3 and +1.7 with an average value of +0.6, indicating the presence of partially optically thick emission. In contrast, centimeter continuum sources found offset (>0.1 pc) from the maser position are generally morphologically more extended and have optically thin emission (see Table 1). This is consistent with 6.7 GHz methanol masers tracing very early phases of massive star formation. We do not see any clear correlation between the properties of the centimeter continuum emission and those of the maser emission (peak flux density or luminosity). However, we caution that our sample is small;

a more extensive survey might show some correlation between the continuum and maser emission.

We determined the physical parameters of the centimeter continuum sources assuming they are (homogeneous) H II regions. For those sources with optically thin emission (G38.12-0.24, G38.92-0.36, and G42.70-0.15) we derive an emission measure of $\sim 10^4$ – 10^5 cm $^{-6}$ pc, characteristic of UC H II regions (e.g., Wood & Churchwell 1989), and an ionizing photon flux of $\sim 10^{46}$ s $^{-1}$ (indicating ionization from B0 or B0.5 stars; Panagia 1973). For sources with optically thick emission, we performed a similar analysis to that done by Pandian et al. (2010). Using the Altenhoff et al. (1960) approximation, the free-free optical depth can be related to the emission measure, EM, as

$$\tau_{\nu} = 0.08235 \left[\frac{\text{EM}}{\text{pc cm}^{-6}} \right] \left[\frac{T_e}{\text{K}} \right]^{-1.35} \left[\frac{\nu}{\text{GHz}} \right]^{-2.1}; \quad (1)$$




Figure 1. Continuum images. Color scale: 3.6 cm VLA continuum image. The scale is indicated in the (right) color bar of each plot (in mJy beam^{-1}). Black contours: 1.3 cm VLA continuum image. G40.28–0.22: levels are 3–15 in steps of 2, times the map rms of $74 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$. G34.82+0.35: levels are 2–7 in steps of 1, times the map rms of $88 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$. G37.55+0.19: levels are 3–8 in steps of 1, times the map rms of $75 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$. G38.12–0.24: levels are 3–33 in steps of 3, times the map rms of $120 \mu\text{Jy beam}^{-1}$. Synthesized beams are shown in the bottom-left (3.6 cm) and bottom-right (1.3 cm) corners. The red star marks the position of the methanol maser (Pandian et al. 2011). The primary beam at 1.3 cm is indicated with the white dashed circle. The spatial scale is indicated in astronomical units.

here we assume an electron temperature of 10^4 K. Given that the spectral index is $\gtrsim +0.3$, and considering homogeneous H II regions, the emission measure should be $\gtrsim 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-6} \text{ pc}$ (with the limiting case when $\tau_\nu = 1$ at a frequency of 22.46 GHz). In the optically thick regime, we can relate the flux density and the solid angle of the source, Ω_S , as

$$S_\nu = B_\nu(T_e)\Omega_S, \quad (2)$$

with $B_\nu(T_e)$ being the black body function. The sizes derived for our compact sources range between 1 and 10 mpc. Thus, the centimeter sources we find associated with class II methanol masers have optical depths and emission measures typical of HC H II regions. Higher resolution observations are needed to determine if they also have typical HC H II region sizes.

In summary, we have identified a number of promising candidates for HC H II regions associated with class II methanol masers. Further observations at higher angular resolution are needed to study the properties and fully characterize these regions.

We thank the anonymous referee for his/her useful comments. We are very grateful to the NRAO staff for their cheerful

help and support during the shared-risk observing period. S.K. acknowledges partial support from DGAPA project IN101310, UNAM. This research made use of NASA’s Astrophysics Data System.

REFERENCES

- Altenhoff, W., Mezger, P. G., Wendker, H., & Westerhout, F. 1960, Veröff. Univ.-Sternwarte Bonn, 59, 48
- Carey, S. J., Noriega-Crespo, A., Mizuno, D. R., et al. 2009, *PASP*, **121**, 76
- Condon, J. J., Cotton, W. D., Greisen, E. W., et al. 1998, *AJ*, **115**, 1693
- Cragg, D. M., Sobolev, A. M., & Godfrey, P. D. 2005, *MNRAS*, **360**, 533
- Ellingsen, S. P. 2006, *ApJ*, **638**, 241
- Gaume, R. A., Goss, W. M., Dickel, H. R., Wilson, T. L., & Johnston, K. J. 1995, *ApJ*, **438**, 776
- Helfand, D. A., Becker, R. H., White, R. L., Fallon, A., & Tuttle, S. 2006, *AJ*, **131**, 2525
- Hoare, M. G., Kurtz, S. E., Lizano, S., Keto, E., & Hofner, P. 2007, in *Protostars and Planets V*, ed. B. Reipurth, D. Jewitt, & K. Keil (Tucson, AZ: Univ. Arizona Press), 181
- Keto, E. 2007, *ApJ*, **666**, 976
- Kurtz, S. 2005, in *IAU Symp. 227, Massive Star Birth: A Crossroads of Astrophysics*, ed. R. Cesaroni, M. Felli, E. Churchwell, & M. Walmsley (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press), 111
- Kurtz, S. E. 2000, *RevMexAA Conf. Ser.*, **9**, 169

- Minier, V., Conway, J. E., & Booth, R. S. 2001, *A&A*, **369**, 278
- Panagia, N. 1973, *AJ*, **78**, 929
- Pandian, J. D., & Goldsmith, P. F. 2007, *ApJ*, **669**, 435
- Pandian, J. D., Goldsmith, P. F., & Deshpande, A. A. 2007, *ApJ*, **656**, 255
- Pandian, J. D., Menten, K. M., & Goldsmith, P. F. 2009, *ApJ*, **706**, 1609
- Pandian, J. D., Momjian, E., Xu, Y., Menten, K. M., & Goldsmith, P. F. 2010, *A&A*, **522**, A8
- Pandian, J. D., Momjian, E., Xu, Y., Menten, K. M., & Goldsmith, P. F. 2011, *ApJ*, **730**, 55
- Perley, R. A., Chandler, C. J., Butler, B. J., & Wrobel, J. M. 2011, *ApJ*, 739, L1
- Price, S. D., Egan, M. P., Carey, S. J., Mizuno, D. R., & Kuchar, T. A. 2001, *AJ*, **121**, 2819
- Purcell, C. R., Hoare, M. G., & Diamond, P. 2008, in ASP Conf. Ser. 387, Massive Star Formation: Observations Confront Theory, ed. H. Beuther, H. Linz, & T. Henning (San Francisco, CA: ASP), 389
- Rodríguez, L. F., Garay, G., Brooks, K. J., & Mardones, D. 2005, *ApJ*, **626**, 953
- Sánchez-Monge, Á., Palau, A., Estalella, R., Beltrán, M. T., & Girart, J. M. 2008, *A&A*, **485**, 497
- Schnee, S., & Carpenter, J. M. 2009, *ApJ*, **698**, 1456
- Sewilo, M., Churchwell, E., Kurtz, S., Goss, W. M., & Hofner, P. 2004, *ApJ*, **605**, 285
- Szymczak, M., Kus, A. J., Hrynek, G., Kępa, A., & Pazderski, E. 2002, *A&A*, **392**, 277
- Wood, D. O. S., & Churchwell, E. 1989, *ApJS*, **69**, 831
- Zapata, L. A., Rodríguez, L. F., Ho, P. T. P., Beuther, H., & Zhang, Q. 2006, *AJ*, **131**, 939