# **Understanding the Orion Bar stratification**

"KOSMA-τ-3D"

Volker Ossenkopf, S

Silke Andree-Labsch,

n, Markus Röllig

Kölner Observatorium für SubMm Astronomie, KOSMA I. Physikalisches Institut, Universität zu Köln



OSSF14: The Olympian Symposium on Star Formation

# **Table of contents**

- What is the Orion Bar?
- What is PDR stratification?
- Why yet another Orion Bar model?
- What is KOSMA- $\tau$ -3D?
- Fit of the observations
- What is the Orion Bar?



### The Orion Bar

# Main characteristics:

- The PDR prototype
- Edge-on geometry
- Exposed to high UV field from  $\Theta^1$ Ori C





OSSF14: The Olympian Symposium on Star Formation

# **Overall geometry:**

- HII region around Trapezium stars
- Cavity wall forming the Orion Bar



Hogerheijde et al. (1995), Menten et al. (2007), Pellegrini et al. (2009), van der Werf et al. (2013)



# **Stratified structure**

• Layering of chemical transitions and temperatures across the PDR:



Based on Hollenbach & Tielens (1999)

# Stratified structure observationally confirmed

3.3 $\mu$ m PAHs (blue), H<sub>2</sub> v=1-0 S(1) (green), CO 1-0 (red) (Hollenbach & Tielens 1999)





OI 1.32µm (red), H<sub>2</sub> v=1-0 S(1) (black), CO 6-5 (color), <sup>13</sup>CO 3-2 (white contours), H<sup>13</sup>CN 1-0 (blue) (Lis & Schilke 2003)



# New Herschel observations (Combined with ground-based data)



V. Ossenkopf

OSSF14: The Olympian Symposium on Star Formation

7

![](_page_7_Figure_0.jpeg)

# **Fit with Meudon PDR model** (Version 1.5.2)

• Model with  $p = 2 \ 10^8 \text{ K cm}^{-3}$ 

ORION BAR: P =  $2 \, 10^8 \, \text{K cm}^{-3}$ , G<sub>0</sub> = 4.5 10<sup>4</sup> Habing

![](_page_7_Figure_4.jpeg)

Photodissociation Region

Dark Gas

C<sup>+</sup>/C/CO

Av (magnitudes)

H/H,

Η,

CO

T~10-100 K

0/0,

10

UV Flux

UV Flux

UV Flux

н7н

Η

 $C^+$ 

0

T~100-1000 K

 $H^+$ 

![](_page_8_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### The PDR stratification in such a model would not be observable!

#### Solution

# **Clumpy medium**

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

# **KOSMA-τ PDR Code**

Individual spherical clumps

 → Layering of chemical species
 and excitation around each clump

# • Recent improvements:

- Eley-Rideal  $H_2$  formation
- Arbitrary dust properties
- Dust surface chemistry
- Full isotopologue network

![](_page_10_Figure_8.jpeg)

5/27/14

# KOSMA-τ-3D

- Simulate PDR by clump ensembles with full size distribution (embedded in interclump medium)
- Individual clumps computed by KOSMA- $\!\tau$

![](_page_11_Figure_4.jpeg)

UV field attenuated in the cloud by foreground clumps

# **Radiative transfer**

- Probabilistic approach for optical depths
- Common approach for UV extinction and line emission

![](_page_12_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_5.jpeg)

Random maps of [CII] line peak opacities in scaled voxels.

Probability distribution for line-of-sight optical depths:  $p\left(e^{-\tau}\right)$ 

for each pixel

Resulting FUV flux distribution in the best fitting Orion Bar model.

0

# Simultaneous fit of line intensities and stratification profile

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Decent match of the observations

- Large number of free parameters in a 2D model
  - Variation along the Bar ignored here
- No fit in  $\chi^2$  sense performed yet, due to huge parameter space

OSSF14: The Olympian Symposium on Star Formation

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

 A successful fit does not prove that we found the true geometry and parameters of the PDR

#### • But:

 We can exclude scenarios if it turns impossible to reproduce the observed properties in them.

#### $\rightarrow$ We do not know what the Orion Bar is, but we know what it is not:

# Geometry

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

Orion Bar = (cylindrical) filament (Walmsley et al. 2000, Arab et al. 2012) Orion Bar = (straight or concave) cavity wall (Hogerheijde et al. 1995, Pellegrini et al. 2009, Bernard Salas et al. 2012, van der Werf et al. 2013)

OSSF14: The Olympian Symposium on Star Formation

70

60

50

40

20

10

0

-10

Z 30

Х

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Convex structures provide no layering of high-density tracers** 

#### Illumination

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

 $\Theta^1$ Ori C deep in the cavity (Jansen et al. 1995, implicite)

 $\Theta^1$ Ori C at the cavity upper edge (Pellegrini et al. 2009, van der Werf et al. 2013)

Illumination

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

Location deep in the cavity produces foreground self-absorption

### **Density structure**

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

Homogeneous mixture of clumps and interclump medium (simplicity first)

#### Deficiency of dense clumps at the PDR surface (Parmar et al. 1991, Hogerheijde et al. 1995, Young Owl et al. 2000)

V. Ossenkopf

OSSF14: The Olympian Symposium on Star Formation

5/27/14

20

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

Stratification between [CII] and HCO<sup>+</sup> requires thin medium in front of dense clumps

# **Other parameters**

- Overall, the scenario proposed by Hogerheijde et al. (1995) matches well
  - > FUV flux 4 10<sup>4</sup>  $\chi_0$  confirmed

#### • Deviations:

- > The cavity is only around 0.3pc deep (compared to 0.6pc)
- Consequently, the mass per voxel is higher by a factor 2.5
- > The clump-to-interclump mass ratio is 4:2 (compared to 1:9)
- > Dense clump and interclump medium densities are slightly higher:
  - 4 10<sup>6</sup> and 4 10<sup>4</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>