The physics of interstellar photon-dominated regions (PDRs)

Chemistry I+II (based on lecture notes by E. van Dishoeck, Leiden)

SS 2007

Basic Molecular Processes

Formation processes

radiative association: grain surface reaction:

Destruction processes

photodissociation: dissociative recombination:

Rearrangement processes

ion-molecule reaction: charge transfer reaction: neutral-neutral reactions: $\begin{array}{l} X+Y \rightarrow XY + h_{V} \\ X+Y:g \rightarrow XY:g \rightarrow XY + g \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} XY + h_{V} \rightarrow X + Y \\ XY^{+} + e^{-} \rightarrow X + Y \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{l} X^{+} + YZ \rightarrow XY^{+} + Z \\ X^{+} + YZ \rightarrow X + YZ^{+} \\ X + YZ \rightarrow X + YZ \end{array}$

Destruction processes

4. Dissociative recombination

atomic ions: molecular ions: $\begin{array}{ll} X^{+} + e & \rightarrow X + h_{\mathcal{V}} \\ XY^{+} + e & \rightarrow XY + h_{\mathcal{V}} \\ & \rightarrow X + Y \end{array}$

radiative \Rightarrow slow radiative \Rightarrow slow dissociative \Rightarrow very rapid at low T



Need curve crossing between XZ⁺ and repulsive XY potential for reaction to proceed fast. Occurs for most molecular ions.

Destruction processes

major uncertainties in models: products

$$\begin{array}{cccc} XH_{n}^{+}+e & \rightarrow XH_{n-1}^{+}+H \\ \rightarrow XH_{n-2}^{+}+H_{2} \\ \rightarrow & & \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{branching} \\ \text{ratios} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{fe} \\ \text{o} \\$$

()

³⁻body products

Destruction processes

- 5. Collision induced dissociation If T is high enough (T> 5000K), H₂ is destroyed by collisions $H + H_2 \rightarrow H + H + H$ $He + H_2 \rightarrow He + H + H$ $H_2 + H_2 \rightarrow H_2 + H + H$
- H2 has no permanent dipole moment \Rightarrow significant population in high ν levels at high T \Rightarrow large dissociation rate
- CO has small dipole moment \Rightarrow radiative stabiliyation rapid
 - \Rightarrow not much pop. in high ν
 - \Rightarrow small dissociation rate

7. Ion-molecule reactions long-range attraction: ion-(induced) dipole ~ 1/R⁴ ⇒rapid at low T if reaction is exothermic



collision energy in ISM ~ 0.01 eV \Rightarrow calculation of collision cross section via potential surface calculation requires high precision



+

critical impact parameter b_c







 μ : reduced mass α : polarizability (~10⁻²⁴ cm³) L= m b v : angular momentum in centrifugal potential



$$\frac{1}{2}\mu v^2 > \frac{(\mu b^- v^-)^2}{2\alpha e^2}$$

critical impact parameter

$$b_c = \left(\frac{4\alpha e^2}{\mu v^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$



collision frequency:
$$k = <\sigma v > = 2\pi \left(\frac{\alpha e^2}{\mu}\right)^2$$

 $\rightarrow k \sim 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ independent of TI

possible processes:

V(R)

V_{eff}

barrier

 $X^+ + YZ \rightarrow XY^+ + Z$ exchange \rightarrow X + YZ⁺ charge transfer

many experiments performed at room T, centrifugal some at low T. Most reactions proceed at Langevin rate, but some exceptions known!

Rate coefficients for ion-polar molecule reactions may be factors of 10-100 larger than Langevin values at low T, because V(R)~R⁻² (eg. C⁺ + OH \rightarrow CO⁺ + H $H_3^+ + CS \rightarrow HCS^+ + H_2$

 long range attraction: weak van der Waals interaction ~1/R⁶ (Woon & Herbst `97)

example: $CN + C_2H_2 \rightarrow H + HC_3N$

 $\begin{array}{l} \mu_1: \text{ dipole moment of CN} \\ \alpha_2: \text{ polarizability of } C_2H_2 \\ \alpha_1: \text{ polarizability of CN} \\ I \quad : \text{ ionization potential} \end{array}$

$$V_{el}(R) = -\frac{C_6}{R^6} - \frac{\mu_1^2 \alpha_2}{R^6}$$

dispersion coefficient $C_6 = \frac{3}{2} \frac{I_1 I_2}{I_1 + I_2} \alpha_1 \alpha_2$

• simpler: $V_{el}(R) = -\frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}{R^6} I$ $k = <\sigma v > \approx \pi \cdot 13.6 \left(\frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}{\mu} I\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} < v^{\frac{1}{3}} > \approx 4 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 k_{n-n} << k_{i-n}

⇒neutral-neutral reactions unimportant (exception: reactions with radicals)

• comparison:

simple hard sphere collision without electromagnetic interaction

• comparison:

simple hard sphere collision without electromagnetic interaction (Bohr's radius: $r = 5.3 \times 10^{-11} \text{ m} = 5.3 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}$) $R \approx 10^{-10} \text{ m} = 10^{-8} \text{ cm}$ $\Rightarrow \sigma = R^2 \pi = 3 \times 10^{-16} \text{ cm}^2$, $v \approx 10^4 \text{ cm/s}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} k = \sigma v & \approx 3 \times 10^{-12} & cm^3 s^{-1} \\ k_{\text{ion-neutral}} & \approx 10^{-9} & cm^3 s^{-1} \\ k_{\text{neutral-neutral}} & \approx 4 \times 10^{-11} & cm^3 s^{-1} \end{array} \right\} \text{Factor} \approx 1000 \\ \end{array}$

Comparison of effective cross section and radii (assumption: $v=10^4$ cm s⁻¹)

k		σ [cm²]	r [cm]
$\sigma = \frac{\kappa}{V}$	hard sphere	3×10 ⁻¹⁶	10 ⁻⁸
$r = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{\pi}}$	ion-neutral	10 -13	2×10 ⁻⁷
	neutral-neutral	4×10 ⁻¹⁵	4×10 ⁻⁸

- dipole induction enlarges the effective target radius by a factor of 20 !

- van der Waals induction enlarges r_{eff} by ~ 4

Adiabatic capture approximation (AC)

– if collision energy < $V_{eff}(R) \Rightarrow$ react. prob=0

- if collision energy > $V_{eff}(R) \Rightarrow$ react. prob=1

(ignores angular dependencies, short range effects, quantum effects, activation energies) With AC theory, the rate coefficient is:

$$k(T) \propto T^{-\frac{2}{n+2}+\frac{1}{2}}$$
 as $T \to 0$
for potentials of form r^{-n}

 $k(T) \propto T^{-\frac{2}{n+2}+\frac{1}{2}}$ as $T \to 0$, for potentials of form r^{-n}

interaction		low T dependence	
charge-induced dipole	r -4	T ⁰	
charge-dipole		T-1/2	
charge-quadrupole	r -3	T-1/6	
dipole-dipole	r -3	T-1/6	
dipole-quadrupole	r ⁻⁴	T ⁰	
dispersion	r -6	T ^{1/6}	

neutral-neutral reactions typically factor 5 smaller than ionmolecule reactions at low T

- rate coefficient :
- rate :
- reaction time : $t \cong (k n)^{-1} [s^{-1}]$
- : $k = [cm^3 s^{-1}]$: $k n_A n_B = [cm^{-3} s^{-1}]$: $t \simeq (k n)^{-1} [s^{-1}]$

rad.association C+H \rightarrow CH + hv $k = 10^{-17} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$ $\rightarrow t = 10^{17} \frac{1}{n} \text{s}$ $t_{n=10^4} = 10^{13} \text{ s} \triangleq 3 \times 10^5 \text{ yr}$

photodiss. $CO + hv \rightarrow C + O$ $k = 2 \times 10^{-10} \text{ s}^{-1}$ $\rightarrow t = 5 \times 10^9 \text{ s}$ $t_{n=10^4} = 160 \text{ yr}$

diss. HCO⁺ + e⁻
$$\rightarrow$$
 CO + H $k = 1.1 \times 10^{-7} \left(\frac{300 \text{ K}}{\text{T}}\right) \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$
recomb. $k_{T=15K} = 2.2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$
 $\rightarrow t = 4.6 \times 10^5 \frac{1}{n_e} \text{ s}$
 $t_{n_e=1} \approx 5 \text{ d}$
ion-molecule CO + by \rightarrow C + O $k = 2.08 \times 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$

$$t_{[\rm H_2]=10^4} = 4.8 \times 10^4 \, \rm s^{-1}$$

 $\approx 0.5 \, \rm d$

charge transf. $H_2^+ + H \rightarrow H_2 + H^+$ reaction $t = 1.6 \times 10^9 \frac{1}{n} s$ $t_{[H]=10^4} = 1.6 \times 10^5 \approx 2 d$

neutral-neutral H + HCO \rightarrow CO + H₂ $k = 2 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}$ reaction $t = 5 \times 10^9 \frac{1}{n} \text{s}$ $t_{n=10^4} = 5 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}$ $\approx 6 \text{ d}$

CR ionization $H_2 + CR \rightarrow H_2^+$ $k = 10^{-17} s^{-1}$ $t = 10^{17} \frac{1}{n} s$ $t_{[H]=10^4} = 10^{13} s \approx 3.2 \times 10^5 \text{ yr}$

dust-surface $H + H:g \rightarrow H_2 + g$ $k = 10^{-17}$ cm reaction $t - 2.7 \times 10^{9}$

$$k = 10^{-17} \text{ cm}^{3} \text{s}^{-1}$$
$$t = 2.7 \times 10^{9} \frac{1}{n} \text{ yr}$$
$$t_{n=10^{4}} = 2.7 \times 10^{5} \text{ yr}$$

Example: ratio H_2/H

$$\frac{d}{dt} [H_2] = -k_{diss} [H_2] + k_{form} [H] = 0$$

$$\frac{[H_2]}{[H]} = \frac{k_{form}}{k_{diss}} = \frac{t_{diss}}{t_{form}} = \frac{634 \text{ yr}}{2.7 \times 10^9 \text{ yr}} \cdot n = 2.4 \times 10^{-7} n \text{ cm}^{-3}$$

⇒ all hydrogen is atomar, unless FUV is attenuated but: H2 is detected diffuse clouds: $[H2]/[H] \approx 1$ dense clouds: [H2]/[H] > 1

- dust extinction
 - self shielding

 \Rightarrow

• electron production:

 $\begin{array}{ll} H_2 + CR \rightarrow H_2{}^{+} + e & ~ \xi_{CR} \\ H_2 + CR \rightarrow H + H^{+} + e & ~ 0.1 \ \xi_{CR} \\ He + CR \rightarrow He^{+} + e & ~ \xi \end{array}$

radiative recombination of atomic ions too slow \Rightarrow charge exchange from H⁺,He⁺ \rightarrow moelcular ions (10-100 1/n yr cm⁻³) followed by dissociative recombination of molecular ions (0.3 1/n_e yr cm⁻³)



compared to:exchange reactions t $\approx 10^{-3}...10^{-2}$ yr 1/nrad. associationst $\approx 10^4$ yr 1/nmany other reactions occur before 1 dissoc. recombinationdestroys ions/electrons

 \Rightarrow Ion – Molecule – Scheme:

example: $H_2^+ + H_2 \rightarrow H_3^+ + H$ $H_3^+ + e \rightarrow H_2 + H \text{ or } H + H + H$ $H_3^+ + AB \rightarrow ABH^+ + H_2$

_ _ _

 \Rightarrow Ion – Molecule – Scheme:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} H_2^{\ +} & + H_2 & \rightarrow H_3^{\ +} & + H \\ H_3^{\ +} & + C & \rightarrow CH^+ & + H_2 \\ CH^+ & + H_2 & \rightarrow CH_2^+ & + H \\ CH_2^+ & + H_2 & \rightarrow CH_3^+ & + H \\ CH_3^+ & + H_2 & \rightarrow CH_5^+ & + h\nu \\ CH_5^+ & + e & \rightarrow CH_4 & + H \\ & \rightarrow CH_3 & + H_2 \\ & \rightarrow CH_2 & + H_2 & + H \\ & \rightarrow CH & + 2H_2 \end{array}$$

 $^+ + H_2 \rightarrow CH^+ + H$

The carbon roadmap

- Like any roadmap, this network describes how_c to get from A to B.
- Like on any roadmap, some paths are quick some are slow.
- Unlike any normal roadmap some slow paths may become very quick under certain conditions





Example: **PDR** high FUV intensity heats the gas at the surface \rightarrow some slow routes become quick $C^+ + H_2 \rightarrow CH^+ + H$ $C^+ + H_2^* \rightarrow CH^+ + H$

endothermic reactions become possible activation energy barriers become surmountable



Example: Dark Cloud cold and dense: T=10 K, n=10⁴-10⁵ cm⁻³ carbon locked in CO He + c.r \rightarrow He⁺ + e $He^+ + CO \rightarrow C^+ + O + He$ FUV fully absorbed some roads vanish some roads become slow e.g. reactions with e⁻ but:

 $CH_5^+ + CO \rightarrow CH_4 + HCO^+$



Looks 'simple', but:

CH⁺ a factor 100 too low

H₂ formation not fully understood





B, V, I

Pre-Collapse Black Cloud B68 (comparison) (VLT ANTU + FORS 1 - NTT + SOFI)





NGC 891





Magnetic field orientation in the Galaxy M51 (Berkhuijsen et al. 1975).



Elemental depletions as a function of condensation temperature (the temperature at which 50% of element condenses out in solid form)



What is this dust composed of?

- amorphous silicates
 - observed depletion: most of Si, Mg, Fe, and 20% of O is contained in silicates (e.g. MgFeSiO₄, i.e. Olivine)
 - shape of 9.7µm Si_O stretch and 18 µm O_Si_O bending modes (Gillel &Forrest, '79), <u>absorption features</u>
 - optical extinction + polarization \Rightarrow typical size ~ 0.1 $\mu m,$ elongated
 - wavelength dependence of extinction \Rightarrow size distribution, e.g. $n(a) \sim a^{-3.5} 50 \text{\AA} 2500 \text{\AA}$ (MRN)





- crystalline silicates
 - discrete, relatively sharp <u>emission</u> features found in spectra of old (post) AGB stars, PNe, and young stars with circumstellar disks, but not in ISM! (photons and ions tend to destroy lattice order)
 - features can be identified with Mg-rich cyrstalline silicates, e.g.

 Mg_2SiO_4 $MgSiO_3$ fosterite enstatite



Figure 1. The spectrum of the planetary nebula NGC6302, the AGB star IRAS09425-6040 and the MWC922, which has an unknown evolutionary status, together with the laboratory spectra of forsterite (Mg₂SiO₄) and enstatite (MgSiO₃) multiplied with a blackbody of 85 K. The feature at 10 μ m in IRAS09425-6040 is due to SiC.



Figure 2. Laboratory transmission spectrum of forsterite, multiplied with blackbody curves of 200, 150 and 100 K. Note the decrease in the strength of the peaks in the $10 \,\mu$ mregion when lowering the temperature.

Molster et al. 2000



Figure 4. The model fit (dotted line) to the continuum subtracted spectrum of MWC922 (solid line). The derived temperature of the forsterite is respectively 90 and for enstatite (50% clino-enstatite and 50% ortho-enstatite) respectively 100. Note that this two component model already reproduces the observed features rather well. The mismatch at the shortest wavelengths is due to PAH features, which are not incorporated in the model.

Molster et al. 2000

crystalline silicates

- fraction crystalline/anorphous ranges from few % to ~ 50%, vs. \leq few % in interstelar clouds

- carbonaceous material
 - -2175Å extinction bump \Rightarrow 'graphitic' material
 - 3.4µm absorption diffuse ISM \Rightarrow 'aliphatic' C_H (aliphatic means chain-like, i.e. does not contain ring structures)
 - mass extinction coefficient (opacity) $\Rightarrow \sim 60\%$ of C in solid form



Bless&Savage 1972





Galactic center source IRS 6E vs laboratory

Hydrogenated carbon grains

Mennella et al. 2001

• PAHs

- Series of discrete emission bands at 3.29 $\mu m,$ 6.2 $\mu m,$ 7.7 $\mu m,$ 8.6 $\mu m,$ 11.3 $\mu m,$...

 best fit by Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons with 20-100 carbon atoms containing ~1% of carbon abundance.

PAH Structures









PAH spectral features in **IR** spectra of NGC7027 (PN) & **Orion Bar** (PDR)

• Ices

$\begin{array}{rll} - \mbox{ discrete absorption features in dense, cold} \\ \mbox{ clouds:} & 3.1, 6.0 \ \mu m & H_2 O \ ice \\ & 4.27, 15.2 \ \mu m & CO_2 \ ice \end{array}$

4.67 μm 3.53, 9.75 μm <u>7.6</u>8 μm H_2O ice CO_2 ice CO ice CH_3OH ice CH_4 ice





- Ices
 - ices can be distinguished from gas-phase by:
 - lack of rotational structure bands
 - broadening bands
 - shape of ice bands provides constraints on environmental molecules, e.g.:
 - H₂O-rich ices: "polar" ices
 - H₂O-poor ices: "apolar" ices

Review: Boogert & Ehrenfreund, astro-ph/0311163



Fraction of photons emitted by a star above 13.6eV increases rapidly with stellar temperature from 10^{-10} (Sun), to 10^{-5} (A0V, 10^{4} K), 10% (B0V, 3.10^{4} K), ~50% (O3V, 5.10^{5} K).